

## EHEALTH COMMISSION MEETING

JANUARY 11, 2017



#### OeHI UPDATES

- Health IT Planning/Innovation Workgroups
- eHealth Commission Member Renewal/Selection Update
- Master Health IT Consultant Update

#### SIM UPDATES

- Vendor to develop SIM HIT implementation plans
- Health IT Architect
- SPLIT/QMRT update



## COLORADO HEALTH IT ROADMAP STEERING COMMITTEE

### LAURA KOLKMAN AND BOB BROWN, MOSAICA PARTNERS

## STEERING COMMITTEE AGENDA




- Supplemental Survey Report
- Health IT Planning Workgroup
- Developing Colorado's Roadmap
- Capabilities Workshop
- Enablers Workshop
- > Timeline
- Discussion





### SURVEY FOLLOW-UP





### HEALTH IT PLANNING WORKGROUP







### DEVELOPING COLORADO'S ROADMAP





Copyright © 2010 - 2017 by Mosaica Partners, LLC



## PURPOSE OF CAPABILITIES WORKSHOP

#### Friday, January 13, 2017



Identify and describe the capabilities that must be present in Colorado to be able to achieve the 14 objectives.

#### Output from Workshop:

A list of statements that describe the capabilities needed for Colorado to be able to achieve the 14 objectives.

Attendance at this workshop is by invitation only. However, all Steering Committee members are encouraged to participate as observers.




## PURPOSE OF ENABLERS WORKSHOP



#### Tuesday, February 7, 2017

Identify the elements that need to be present in the enabling infrastructure for the capabilities to exist.



#### Output from Workshop:

A list containing brief descriptions of elements of enabling infrastructure required to support the needed capabilities.

Attendance at this workshop is by invitation only. However, all Steering Committee members are encouraged to participate as observers.



## PROJECT CALENDAR



Key Project 2016				2017													
Events	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov
Project Kick-Off July 2016	X																
Steering Committee Meetings	X	X	X	X	À	X	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Stakeholder Interviews August 2016																	
Envisioning Workshops Mid-September 2016			_	•													
Stakeholder Survey November 2016					-												
Capabilities Workshop January 2017							*										
Enablers Workshop February 2017								*									
Public/Stakeholder Updates March – April 2017									_								
Develop Future State Description May – June 2017																	
Define Initiatives July – Aug 2017														_			
Develop Roadmap Sept – Oct 2017																	
Deliver Roadmap to State Leadership Early Nov 2017																	*





## DISCUSSION

THANK YOU







President

Bob Brown VP, Professional Services

LKolkman@MosaicaPartners.com

BBrown@MosaicaPartners.com

www.MosaicaPartners.com

727-570-8100



## HISTORICAL CONTEXT: MASTER PATIENT INDEX & MASTER PROVIDER DIRECTORY SERVICES: & DRAFT QUALIFICATIONS FOR BIDDERS FOR MPI/MPD SERVICES

JIM YOUNKIN, CEDARBRIDGE GROUP AND CARRIE PAYKOC, STATE HEALTH IT COORDINATOR



## Question from the December eHealth Commission meeting:

# "What problem are we trying to solve?"



## DEFINING A MASTER DATA STRATEGY



HCPF worked with OeHI to research and define an MDM strategy to ensure effective coordination and alignment of strategic efforts.

Implementing both a Master Patient Index (MPI) and Master Provider Directory (MPD) as the foundation for HCPF's MDM will:

- Achieve a unified view of Medicaid provider and member data across HIE networks
- Improve the quality of data'
- Improve collaboration between providers
- Reduce costs
- Create a suite of data records and services that will allow HCPF to link and synchronize Medicaid member, provider, and organization data to HIE sources

This effort will result in a single, trusted, authoritative data source.

The fully implemented MDM will include a Consents and Disclosures repository that will support sharing consents and disclosures across medical, behavioral, and substance abuse providers.

## HISTORICAL PROBLEM STATEMENTS




Patient Identification/ Patient Matching Problems/Needs	Provider Identification/ Provider Attribution Problems/Needs
<ul> <li>No consistent data elements in MPIs</li> <li>Data quality issues</li> <li>Lack of standards or recommended best practices (e.g., SSN#)</li> <li>Missing populations</li> <li>Patient churn</li> <li>Sustainability</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No consistent data elements in provider directories</li> <li>Difficult to link and maintain accurate provider relationships to: <ul> <li>Facilities</li> <li>Organizations</li> <li>Payer networks, etc.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Increasingly critical to include non-licensed health professionals</li> <li>Data quality issues</li> <li>Sustainability</li> </ul>
Integrated Patien	t and Provider Data
> Lack of "standardized" nation attribution	and empanelment across pavers and providers


Lack of "standardized" patient attribution and empanelment across payers and providers
 Challenges with state/community-level clinical quality measurement and reporting

## IDENTITY MANAGEMENT

#### **Health IT Modular Functions**



Reporting Services						
Analytics Serv	/ices	Consumer Tools				
Notification Se	rvices	Provider Tools				
Exchange Serv	/ices	Patient Attribution				
Data Extraction	Da Transfor		Data Aggregation	•		
Data	a Quality &	& Provena	ance			
Identity Manag	ement	Provider Directories				
Security Mecha	nisms	Consent Management				
Accountable Over	rsight &		Policy/Legal			
Financing Financing						





## MPI/MPD SERVICES

## DRAFT BIDDER QUALIFICATIONS



• The MPI and MPD will be contracted through a competitive Request for Proposal (RFP) process.

- The MPI/MPD services RFP will require bidding vendors to attest to a set of qualifications to ensure experienced organizations/vendors align to Colorado's collaborative vision.
  - Organizational requirements
  - Administrative overhead percentage limit
  - MPI/MPD solution experience
  - Understanding Colorado environment and MPI/MPD project requirements

### ORGANIZATION REQUIREMENTS (DRAFT)



## Organizational Structure and Location:

Open RFP for any type of organization to bid on (e.g., for profit, nonprofit, or benefit corporation), not limited by size.

No specific requirements to maintain Key or Other Personnel in Colorado.

Qualified bidders must be

- experienced
- financially sound
- legal business entities in good standing in Colorado and the United States.

#### Organizational Operations Readiness:

- Fiscal management
- Processes to maintain data quality
- Privacy and security policies and procedures
- Project management
- Training and on-boarding materials
- Dissemination of training
- Business continuity planning
- Managing help desk staff
- Data-sharing policies
- Understanding Colorado's Health IT ecosystem
- Data stewardship programs
- Understanding federal and state regulations and programs

#### Organization

## ORGANIZATION REQUIREMENTS (DRAFT)



#### **Cooperative bids:**

Qualified bidders may partner with other organizations to meet program and technical implementation requirements.

Each named entity in a bid must meet the organizational and experience requirements for the proposed services.

Cooperative bidders must submit:

- A coordinated strategy
- A technical integration plan
- An operational plan explaining the partnership and technical approach

#### Singular service bids:

Organizations may bid on one modular service, such as MPI but not MPD, if the requisite experience is met.

Singular bidders must demonstrate the ability to interoperate with core infrastructure and with other identity management services.

#### Bids

### ORGANIZATION REQUIREMENTS (DRAFT)



Administrative Overhead Percentage:

Limit on Overhead As a strong steward of the public funds it manages, the Department will impose a limit of 10% percent for administrative overhead costs on the contract(s) for MPI/MPD services.

## EXPERIENCE QUALIFICATIONS (DRAFT)



Health information exchange(s)

- Health care providers
- Governmental agencies
- Large health insurance companies

Experience performing MPI, MPD, and/or integrated MPI/MPD services

- For comparable population volumes to the Colorado Medicaid program
- Transitioning data from multiple sources into a new system
- Meeting minimum business requirements for current and future priority use cases defined by the Health IT Roadmap
- Demonstrating flexibility and extensibility

## MPI/MPD SERVICES PROJECT REQUIREMENTS (DRAFT)



Qualified bidders will be required to adhere to the following project operations requirements:

#### Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V)

The Department's IV&V contractor will provide objective assessments through the development of the MPI/MPD solution(s):

- Facilitating early detection and correction of errors
- Enhancing management insight into risks
- Ensuring compliance with project performance, schedule, and budget requirements

#### MPI/MPD Project Oversight and Collaboration


The Department will perform overall program management oversight

Bidders should demonstrate ability to work collaboratively

## Engaging Stakeholders; Soliciting Input



Steps Prior to Issuing RFP for MPI/MPD Services	Timeline
CedarBridge meets with state agencies and stakeholders to collect input for Draft MPI/MPD use cases, business and functional requirement	September 2016
Department posts Draft MPI/MPD requirements for public comment	October 2016
CedarBridge presents feedback on Draft MPI/MPD requirements to Commission	December 2016
Department considers revisions to Draft MPI/MPD requirements CedarBridge presents Draft Qualifications to Bid on MPI/MPD services to Commission Department posts Draft Qualifications to Bid on MPI/MPD services for public comment	January 2017
Department posts revised Draft MPI/MPD requirements for 2 <sup>nd</sup> public comment period CedarBridge presents feedback on Qualifications to Bid on MPI/MPD to Commission	February 2017
Department considers revisions to Qualifications to Bid on MPI/MPD services Department considers final revisions to MPI/MPD requirements	March 2017
CedarBridge presents final requirements for MPI/MPD services and final Qualifications to Bid to Commission	April 2017
Department posts competitive RFP for MPI/MPD services	TBD





## CONTACT INFORMATION

CAROL ROBINSON, PRINCIPAL CAROL@CEDARBRIDGEGROUP.COM

JIM YOUNKIN, CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER JIM@CEDARBRIDGEGROUP.COM

www.cedarbridgegroup.com



## **CIVHC PRESENTATION**

### JONATHAN MATHIEU, CIVHC



## COLORADO DIGITAL HEALTH INNOVATION STRATEGY

LEVERAGING COLORADO'S OFFICE OF EHEALTH INNOVATION TO DEVELOP A STATEWIDE STRATEGY FOR DIGITAL HEALTH PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS

MORGAN HONEA, CORHIO



#### What is Digital Health?

Applying information and communications technologies to improve health.

"Digital technologies have transformed the way we work, shop, and socialize. Now health care providers are beginning to deploy digital tools to better understand and serve their patients. The prospect that digital technologies will make care more convenient, more coordinated, and more responsive to consumers' needs."

- <u>http://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/fund-reports/2014/oct/vision-digital-health-tech</u>
- <u>http://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/fund-reports/2014/oct/taking-digital-health-next-level</u>



#### What is a Public-Private Partnership (P3)?

"A relationship based upon agreements, reflecting mutual responsibilities in furtherance of shared interests." Shaping the 21st Century: the contribution of development cooperation.

Critical criterion for success:

- Legal and Regulatory Framework;
- Transparency and Accountability;
- Suitable Public Policies;
- Commitment to Public Good;
- Common Understanding;
- Sharing of Resources;
- Consumers and Community.



#### Why P3 focused on Digital Health Care?

"Recent focus on health sector reform has shined a spotlight on the role of the private sector, and especially on the qualities of innovation and efficiency that are generally seen as more common in private enterprises than in government bureaucracies. It is generally felt that the private sector, as a result of the competitive environment and the subsequent need to survive, is more able to respond to change and more able to deliver services at low cost when there is an appropriate stimulus to do so."

> Public Private Partnerships in Health Marc Mitchell, MD, MS, Harvard School of Public Health



#### Foundation

Colorado is and has been the beneficiary of multiple federal and state programs to accelerate innovation in healthcare delivery and payment. Colorado has also seen a groundswell in private-sector digital health organizations seeking to make the state a national (and international) hub for this work.

#### Public

- Office of eHealth Innovation
- SIM
- COMMIT
- IAPD
- CPC+
- ARRA/HITECH
- Health Workforce Data Consortium

#### Private

- Prime Health
- 10.10.10
- Innovation Pavilion
- Catalyst HTI
- Colorado Technology Association
- Colorado Biosciences
   Association
- Rockies Venture Club



#### Why the Office of eHealth Innovation?

- Shared Vision;
- Shared Governance;
- Broad Representation;
- Accountable and Transparent;
- Ability to Develop and Drive Strategy;
- Unique View into Current Resources and Future Opportunities.



#### The Ask.....

- In coordination with its current activities, will the eHealth Commission:
- Establish a statewide Digital Health Innovations Workgroup to:
- Take on the development of a Statewide Digital Health Innovation Strategy;
- To explore the possibilities of expanding public-private partnership opportunities;
- To enhance the Digital Health Ecosystem;
- To reduce the friction of adopting new technologies;
- To integrate innovation faster;
- In order to make Colorado the #1 Digital Health Innovation Hub in the United States; and
- Improving the health and healthcare of all Coloradans.



## COMMISSION DISCUSSION ON PRESENTATIONS

### MARY ANNE LEACH, OEHI DIRECTOR



## PUBLIC COMMENT



## CLOSING REMARKS, FEBRUARY AGENDA, AND ADJOURN

MARC LASSAUX

## DRAFT FEBRUARY AGENDA



Call to Order Roll Call and Introductions, Approval of January Minutes, February Agenda and Objectives	12:00
Announcements OeHI Updates SIM HIT Updates Grant Opportunities, Workgroup Updates, Announcements	12:10
New Business	
Colorado Health IT Roadmap Steering Committee	12:25
MDM Update	1:25
Guest Presentation 1 TBD	
Guest Presentation 2 TBD	
Commission Discussion and Presentations	2:30
Public Comment Period	2:45
Closing Remarks Open Discussion, March Agenda, Adjourn	2:50



Торіс	Presenter	Potential upcoming meeting date
Interoperability and HIE	Kim Peterson (Children's)	TBD

#### Suggestions for future topics welcome!