EHEALTH COMMISSION MEETING

MARCH 8, 2017

ANNOUNCEMENTS

OeHI UPDATES

- eHealth Commission New Member
- Master Health IT Consultant Update
- FCC Letter for Broadband Support
- Guiding Principles
- MPI/MPD Requirements

SIM UPDATES

- Cohort 2 Request for Applications Now Open

ACTION ITEMS

FOLLOW UP ON ACTION ITEMS FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

Action Item	Owner	Timeframe	Status
Draft letter to FCC	OeHI Director/ Commission	January/February	Open
Health IT Innovation sub-working group	OeHI Director	Winter/Spring	Kick-Off Meeting March 7th
Health IT Planning sub-working group	OeHI Director	Winter/Spring	In progress
Broadband sub-working group	OeHI Director	Winter/Spring	Open
Track and report federal and local legislation	OeHI Director/ Health IT Coordinator	Winter/Spring	Open

COLORADO HEALTH IT ROADMAP

STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING
MOSAICA PARTNERS

LAURA KOLKMAN
BOB BROWN

MARCH 8, 2017

- ➢ Enablers Workshop Recap

- ➢ The Road Ahead

- ➢ Moving Forward

- ➢ Discussion

- ➢ Timeline

ENABLERS WORKSHOP RECAP

Held on Tuesday, February 8, 2017   23 Participants

Capabilities

What we must be able to do to achieve the Objectives

Enablers

What needs to be in place to support the Capabilities

Identify those things that *we need to have* for the capabilities to exist.

Output from the workshop:

Output is a list containing brief descriptions of the elements of enabling infrastructure required to support the 31 capabilities.

Justin Aubert
QHN
Kim Ball
Community Health Partnership
Mike Biselli
Catalyst HTI
Jim Calanni
Community Health Partnership
Paul Condit
State of Colorado, Office of Information Technology
Joel Dalzell
MMIS, Department of Health Care Policy & Financing
Robert Denson
CORHIO
Cory Everett
Colorado Department of Regulatory Agencies
Lloyd Guthrie
Center for Improving Value in Health Care
Anthony Halie
Peak Vista Community Health Center
Steve Hess
University of Colorado Health
Kelly Joines
CORHIO

Eric Kinter
SW Law
Janet McIntyre
Colorado Hospital Association
Jason McRoy
Boulder County Public Health
Jeffrey Nathanson
Prime Health
Brian Shepard
State of Colorado, Office of Information Technology
Jason Sunstrom
Colorado Department of Regulatory Agencies
Chris Underwood
Department of Health Care Policy & Financing
Steve Watson
VTO Labs
Chris Wells
Department of Public Health & Environment
Wes Williams
Mental Health Center of Denver
Herb Wilson
Department of Human Services

THE ROAD AHEAD

OeHI
Office of eHealth Innovation

Stakeholder Engagement

Prioritized Statewide Objectives

Stakeholder Engagement

eHealth Commission – Steering Committee

State's DFS Vision

Develop Resource and Implementation Path

Approval Process

Approved Plan

We are here

Master Stakeholder List

Master Project List

Acts, Events & Occurrences

Glossary

Statewide Specific Information ---- In Context

Synthesis and Plan Generation

Resultant Plan

Implementation Process

Communication Plan

Mosaica's Large Enterprise Applied Planning Process (LEAPP™)
Optimized for Statewide Health IT / HIE Stakeholders

MOSAICA
PARTNERS™

MOVING FORWARD

BOLD

Imaginative

- Tools that support care coordination

- Statewide infrastructure that supports the "fully connected participant"

- Statewide health and health-related data governance

- Policies that reduce or remove barriers to effective heath and heath-related information sharing (e.g. information blocking)

- Adequate resource to support the policies, processes, programs, technical infrastructure, ongoing adoption, and sustainment required for HIT/HIE

- Standardized consent policies and best practices for sharing physical health, mental health and substance use information

TIMELINE

MOSAICA
PARTNERS℠

Laura Kolkman

President

LKolkman@MosaicaPartners.com

Bob Brown

VP, Professional Services

BBrown@MosaicaPartners.com

www.MosaicaPartners.com

727-570-8100

PUBLIC COMMENTS
ON QUALIFIED BIDDERS

*CARRIE PAYKOC, STATE HEALTH IT COORDINATOR*

QUALIFIED BIDDERS PUBLIC COMMENTS

64 Total Comments

- **31** Questions

- **16** General Comment/Recommendation

- **9** New Requirement Recommendation

- **8** Revision Requested

- **24** In-Scope for Qualified Bidders Qualifications

COMMENT THEMES

Comment Themes

Theme	Value
Privacy/Consent	5
Miscellaneous request for revision	4
Financial	6
Federal requirements	1
Contract specifications	8
Bidder Experience	7
Architectural/technical requirements	33

Legend:
- Architectural/technical requirements
- Bidder Experience
- Contract specifications
- Federal requirements
- Financial
- Miscellaneous request for revision
- Privacy/Consent

COMMENT TYPES

Comment Types

- Proposed new requirement: 9
- Revision requested: 8
- General comment/reccomendation: 16
- Question: 30

■ Question ■ General comment/reccomendation ■ Revision requested ■ Proposed new requirement

Qualified Bidder Comments

OeHI
Office of eHealth Innovation

Sample Comments

- "We recommend that MDM planning includes development of a data governance process and that requirements for experience in building a data governance structure is accounted for in the RFP"

- Elimination of duplicate data and processes by using a Master Patient Index (MPI) and Master Provider Index (MPD) reduces duplication and improves accuracy lowering costs and improving outcomes.

- No mention of patient consent for business need or functional requirements.

Questions

General Comments

Public Comments

Revisions

New Requirements

Qualified Bidder

Questions

- Is the State expecting the bidder to use a specific state- prescribed technical solution architecture or can the bidder propose a relevant solution architecture based on State's objectives, broader goals and technical/functional requirements?QB revision

- What is the basis of the 10% administrative cost limit? Can the State provide clarity on what that includes? For example, the overhead of the implementation would be different from the overhead of the ongoing operation. Depending on what the limit includes, 10% will likely be insufficient for administrative costs.

- How will the State address the issue of a "golden record"? Would it be the State's intention to use MDM to generate the golden record? If so, should the MDM be able to export the golden record to consuming systems?

Qualified Bidder

Questions

General Comments

Public Comments

Revisions

New Requirements

Revisions

- Qualified Bidders may "legally by written contract" partner with other organizations to meet requirements and provide MPI/MPD services.

- A broader definition of what Master Data Management(MDM) is and why it is needed would be helpful in the introduction. Details follow but you lose the reader real fast.

- Improving health should come before advanced payment models.

Qualified Bidder

New Requirements

- Colorado that bidders also reveal their number of successful implementations using a data volume comparable to Colorado Medicaid population.

- Within the past three (3) years, Qualified Bidders must demonstrate repeated experience with training technical and non-technical stakeholders.

- Prior to implementation of the MPI/MPD services, the selected vendor will be required to work with identified stakeholders to define and document the Agency's data standards which should be upheld in the MPI/MPD design and implementation.

MPI/MPD NEXT STEPS

- MPI/MPD Public Comment review
  - Second public comment period closed 3/2/17

- Incorporate Bidder Qualifications into the MPI/MPD requirements

- RFP development

CONTACT INFORMATION

CARRIE PAYKOC, HEALTH IT
COORDINATOR
CARRIE.PAYKOC@STATE.CO.US

CAROL ROBINSON, PRINCIPAL
CAROL@CEDARBRIDGEGROUP.COM

JIM YOUNKIN,
CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER
JIM@CEDARBRIDGEGROUP.COM

www.cedarbridgegroup.com

QUICK BREAK

DRAFT APRIL AGENDA

Call to Order
    Roll Call and Introductions, Approval of March Minutes,   12:00
    April Agenda and Objectives

Announcements   12:10
    OeHI Updates
    SIM HIT Updates
    Grant Opportunities, Workgroup Updates, Announcements

New Business
    Colorado Health IT Roadmap Steering Committee   12:25

    MDM Update   1:25

    Cerner State Implemenations   1:40

    Guest Presentation 2 TBD   2:05

    Commission Discussion and Presentations   2:30

        2:45
Public Comment Period

Closing Remarks   2:50
    Open Discussion, May Agenda, Adjourn

Suggestions for future topics welcome!